

**DAVID A. LOEWENSTEIN  
802 KING STREET  
RYE BROOK, NY 10573  
Tel. 914-937-4119  
Fax. 914-937-3338  
DMLOEW@OPTONLINE.NET**

---

**Fax Cover Sheet**

---

**To: Examiner Dolores Collins**

**Date: January 13, 2004**

**Company: USPTO**

**Fax Number: 703-308-7768**

**Client:**

**Pages  
(including Cover): 12**

---

**COMMENTS:**

**DAVID A. LOEWENSTEIN  
802 KING STREET  
RYE BROOK, NY 10573**

January 13, 2004

Examiner Dolores Collins  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Alexandria Virginia, 22313-1450

**Application No. 10/081,095**

Dear Examiner Collins:

Pursuant to your suggestion during my telephone call on January 12, 2003, I am faxing you a short explanation of the significant differences between the claimed inventions and the prior art, and a brief explanation about why the section 112 objections should be withdrawn.

There are fundamental differences between Applicant's invention and all prior art in the 400+ year history of playing cards. Applicant's invention has two key and unique properties:

- (1) when the cards are first dealt they display the suit alone and the not card value (i.e., ace, king, queen, jack, etc.); and
- (2) after the player selects a card the card changes appearance so that both the suit and card value appear, like a conventional card.

It bears repeating -- the card's suit and the card's value are separated when the cards are first dealt; they display the suit alone -- not the card value. No other card has these properties.